

ACADEMIC
PRESS

Journal of Algebra 254 (2002) 213–225

JOURNAL OF
Algebrawww.academicpress.com

On the homology of free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras

Johannes Grassberger,^a Alastair King,^{b,*} and Paulo Tirao^c^a *Scientific Computing Section, Abdus Salam ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy*^b *Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, United Kingdom*^c *CIEM–FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina*

Received 1 October 1999

Communicated by Alexander Lubotzky

Abstract

We find an explicit formula for the total dimension of the homology of a free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra. We analyse the asymptotics of this formula and use it to find an improved lower bound on the total dimension of the homology of any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of rank r is $\mathcal{N}_r = V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$, where V is an r -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . The only non-zero Lie brackets are for $v, w \in V$, when $[v, w] = v \wedge w \in \Lambda^2 V$. The centre of \mathcal{N}_r is $\Lambda^2 V$.

In [5], Sigg describes how to decompose the Lie algebra homology $H_*(\mathcal{N}_r)$ into its irreducible components as a representation of $GL(V)$.

$$H_*(\mathcal{N}_r) = \bigoplus_{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} H_I(\mathcal{N}_r), \quad (1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: johannes@ictp.trieste.it (J. Grassberger), a.d.king@maths.bath.ac.uk (A. King), ptirao@mate.uncor.edu (P. Tirao).

where the summand $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$ is isomorphic to the irreducible tensor representation $R_\lambda(V)$ corresponding to the self-conjugate partition $\lambda = (I; I)$ in Frobenius notation. (This notation will be explained in Section 2.) The homology grading of $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$ is $\Sigma(I) = \sum_{i \in I} i$.

Despite claims to the contrary in [5], this decomposition is a special case of Kostant's decomposition [4] of the Lie algebra cohomology (or homology) of the nilradical of a parabolic subalgebra of a semisimple Lie algebra as a representation of the Levi factor. In this case, the parabolic is the Lie algebra of the stabiliser in $SO(V \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus V^*)$ of the maximal isotropic subspace V . The Levi factor is $GL(V)$ and the indexing set for the indecomposable summands is a transversal to the smaller Weyl group S_r in the large one $S_r \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^r$. Such a transversal is naturally in one-one correspondence with the subsets of $\{1, \dots, r\}$.

The decomposition yields a formula for the Poincaré polynomial

$$P(\mathcal{N}_r; t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \dim H_n(\mathcal{N}_r) t^n = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} \dim R_{(I; I)}(V) t^{\Sigma(I)} \quad (2)$$

and thus for the total homology

$$T(r) = \dim H_*(\mathcal{N}_r) = P(\mathcal{N}_r; 1).$$

The sum may be computed using one of the standard formulae for the dimension of an irreducible representation of $GL(r)$ (e.g. from [2]). For example, the first nine values of $T(r)$ are as follows:

r	$T(r)$
1	2
2	6
3	36
4	420
5	9800
6	452 760
7	41 835 024
8	7 691 667 984
9	2 828 336 198 688

Since we are taking a sum of 2^r positive terms, the length of the computation and the size of the answer grow exponentially.

A well-known lower bound for the total homology of any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is 2^z , where z is the dimension of the centre, i.e., the so-called Toral Rank Conjecture is true in this case. Recently, in [6], the bound has been improved to $2^{z + \lceil r/2 \rceil}$, where r is the codimension of the centre. For the free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, $z = r(r-1)/2$ and hence a lower bound for $T(r)$ is $2^{r^2/2}$.

In this paper we find the following explicit formula for $T(r)$.

Theorem 1.1. For $n \geq 0$

$$T(2n+1) = 2^{n+1} \beta(n)^2, \quad (3)$$

$$T(2n+2) = 2^{n+1} \beta(n) \beta(n+1), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\beta(n) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \frac{2(i+j)-1}{2i-1} \quad (5)$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(4k)! k!^2}{(2k)!^3}. \quad (6)$$

Note from (5) that $\beta(n)$ is always odd. For example, the first five values are as follows:

n	0	1	2	3	4
$\beta(n)$	1	3	35	1617	297297

Hence the power of 2 dividing $T(r)$ is precisely $2^{\lceil r/2 \rceil}$.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2 using Giambelli's determinant formula for the representation dimensions and observing that several simplifications can be made for self-conjugate partitions leading to an expression for $T(r)$ as a single determinant. This determinant can be further simplified by elementary row and column operations. A remarkable fact, that appears as the finishing step in the proof, is that $\beta(n)$ is the dimension of an irreducible $SO(2n+1)$ representation. Indeed, (3) is valid at the level of characters of $SO(2n+1)$ and not just dimensions, but we have no deeper understanding of why this is true.

One consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that the asymptotic behaviour of $T(r)$ can be analysed more closely and we discover that the lower bound $2^{r^2/2}$ is in fact the dominant term in the asymptotics. We do this in Section 3 by analysing the asymptotic behaviour of $\beta(n)$ using (6) and find the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. There is a constant $\kappa \simeq 1.3814$ such that

$$T(r) \sim 2^{r^2/2} r^{1/8} \kappa. \quad (7)$$

In fact, we obtain a stronger result (Theorem 3.1) by finding actual upper and lower bounds on $T(r)$. In Section 4, we then apply Theorem 3.1 to further improve the lower bound on the total homology of any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra.

2. Frobenius' notation and Giambelli's formula

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0)$ is often represented by its Young diagram $Y(\lambda)$, a graphical arrangement of λ_i boxes in the i th row starting in the first column. The conjugate partition λ' of λ has Young diagram $Y(\lambda')$ obtained by reflecting $Y(\lambda)$ in the diagonal.

Another way to denote a partition λ is due to Frobenius. Let $d = d_\lambda$ be the number of diagonal boxes of $Y(\lambda)$. For $i = 1, \dots, d$, let α_i to be the number of boxes in the i th row to the right of and including the diagonal. Let β_i to be the number of boxes in the i th column below and including the diagonal. Then one writes $\lambda = (I; J)$ where $I = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ and $J = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$. Note that $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_d \geq 1$ and $\beta_1 > \dots > \beta_d \geq 1$, so the sets I and J determine the sequences α_i and β_i .

An example is given below, showing a partition λ and its conjugate λ' in standard notation and Frobenius notation, together with their Young diagrams.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (3, 2, 2, 1) & Y(\lambda) &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}; \\ &= (\{3, 1\}; \{4, 2\}), \\ \\ \lambda' &= (4, 3, 1) & Y(\lambda') &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \square & \\ \hline \end{array}. \\ &= (\{4, 2\}; \{3, 1\}), \end{aligned}$$

Note that there are different conventions on the precise form of Frobenius notation and, in particular, [5] uses a slightly different one.

In general, if $\lambda = (I; J)$, then the conjugate partition $\lambda' = (J; I)$, so that λ is self-conjugate if and only if $I = J$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0)$ is a self-conjugate partition with no more than r rows (and columns), then the complementary partition $\lambda^c = (r - \lambda_r \geq \dots \geq r - \lambda_1 \geq 0)$. If $\lambda = (I; I)$ in Frobenius notation, then $\lambda^c = (I^c; I^c)$, where $I^c = \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus I$ is the complementary subset. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (3, 3, 2, 0) & Y(\lambda) &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}; \\ &= (\{3, 2\}; \{3, 2\}), \\ \\ \lambda^c &= (4, 2, 1, 1) & Y(\lambda') &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \square & \\ \hline \end{array}. \\ &= (\{4, 1\}; \{4, 1\}), \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, a self conjugate partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0)$ may be recovered from Frobenius notation by writing $I = \{\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_d\}$ in descending

order and the complement $I^c = \{\alpha_{d+1} < \dots < \alpha_r\}$ in ascending order and then putting

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \alpha_i + i - 1, & 1 \leq i \leq d; \\ i - \alpha_i, & d < i \leq r. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Now recall (e.g. [2, §15.5]) that any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0)$ with no more than r rows determines an irreducible tensor representation $R_\lambda(V)$ of $GL(V)$, where $r = \dim V$. Furthermore, the ‘second Giambelli formula’ [2, (24.11)] gives the character and hence the dimension of $R_\lambda(V)$ as a determinant. When $\lambda' = \lambda$ we have

$$\dim R_\lambda(V) = \det G_1(\lambda, r), \quad (9)$$

where the Giambelli matrix $G_1(\lambda, r)$ is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$G_1(\lambda, r)_{ij} = \binom{r}{\lambda_i + j - i}. \quad (10)$$

In other words, each row consists of r consecutive binomial coefficients chosen so that the i th row has $\binom{r}{\lambda_i}$ on the diagonal. For example,

$$\lambda = (3, 3, 2, 0), \quad G_1(\lambda, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 \\ \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that, to obtain the character of $R_\lambda(V)$, we simply need to interpret the symbol $\binom{r}{k}$ as the character of $\Lambda^k V$ rather than just as a binomial coefficient.

Using (8) we may also describe the Giambelli matrix $G_1(\lambda, r)$ in terms of Frobenius notation as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ the i th row starts with $\binom{r}{\alpha_i}$, while for $d < i \leq r$ the i th row ends with $\binom{r}{r-\alpha_i}$. But note that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, r)$ and the sign of this permutation is $(-1)^{\#I_0}$, where $\#I_0$ is the number of even elements of I . Now $G_1(\lambda, r)$ is obtained by applying this permutation to the rows of the $r \times r$ matrix $G_2(I, r)$ with entries

$$G_2(I, r)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \binom{r}{j+i-1}, & \text{if } i \in I; \\ \binom{r}{j-i}, & \text{if } i \notin I. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Hence we may use (2) to write the Poincaré polynomial

$$P(\mathcal{N}_r; t) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{\#I_0} t^{\Sigma(I)} \det G_2(I, r) = \det G_3(r; t) \quad (12)$$

where $G_3(r; t)$ is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$G_3(r; t)_{ij} = \binom{r}{j-i} - (-t)^i \binom{r}{j+i-1}.$$

The equality of (12) simply follows from the fact that \det is linear in rows. In particular, we have a formula for the total homology

$$T(r) = \dim H_*(\mathcal{N}_r) = \det G_3(r; 1). \quad (13)$$

For example,

$$G_3(4; 1) = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} \\ 0 & \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} \\ -\binom{4}{2} & -\binom{4}{3} & -\binom{4}{4} & 0 \\ \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ -\binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that by interpreting $\binom{r}{k}$ as the character of $\Lambda^k V$ we may use (13) as a formula for the $GL(V)$ character of $H_*(\mathcal{N}_r)$ and not just its dimension. This is because we have so far been careful not to use any binomial identities, such as $\binom{r}{k} = \binom{r}{r-k}$, which do not hold at the level of $GL(V)$ characters. However, if we do allow ourselves to use such an identity, which would still hold at the level of $SO(V)$ characters, then we notice that the matrix $G_3(r; 1)$ has odd rows which are symmetric under reversal and even rows which are antisymmetric. The determinant of such a matrix can always be simplified as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Z be an $r \times r$ matrix whose odd rows are symmetric and whose even rows are antisymmetric. Let $r_0 = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ be the number of even rows and $r_1 = \lceil r/2 \rceil$ be the number of odd rows. Then*

$$\det Z = 2^{r_0} \det X_0 \det X_1 \quad (14)$$

where X_0 and X_1 are the $r_0 \times r_0$ and $r_1 \times r_1$ matrices consisting of the final parts of the even and odd rows; that is

$$X_0[i, j] = Z[2i, r_1 + j], \quad X_1[i, j] = Z[2i - 1, r_0 + j].$$

Proof. The proof uses elementary row and column operations. We describe the general case, while showing the case $r = 5$ as an illustration. Here $X_1 = (x_{ij})$ and $X_0 = (y_{ij})$:

$$\det Z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ -y_{12} & -y_{11} & 0 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ -y_{22} & -y_{11} & 0 & y_{21} & y_{22} \\ x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We reverse the order of the first r_1 columns and rearrange the rows so that all the odd rows precede all the even rows. Note that this may be done with $\binom{r_1}{2}$ column

transpositions and $\binom{r_1}{2}$ row transpositions so that the sign of the determinant is unchanged:

$$\det Z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{33} \\ 0 & -y_{11} & -y_{12} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ 0 & -y_{11} & -y_{22} & y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now add column $r+1-j$ to column r_1+1-j , for $j=1, \dots, r_0$ to obtain a block upper triangular matrix in which r_0 columns have a factor of 2, which may be removed to give the required answer:

$$\det Z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 2x_{12} & 2x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & 2x_{22} & 2x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & 2x_{32} & 2x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{r_0} \det X_1 \det X_0. \quad \square$$

Combining Lemma 2.1 with (13) we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1.

$$T(2n+1) = 2^{n+1} \det C(n) \det B(n), \quad (15)$$

$$T(2n+2) = 2^{n+1} \det D(n) \det A(n+1), \quad (16)$$

where $A(n)$, $B(n)$, $C(n)$, and $D(n)$ are $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} A(n)_{ij} &= \binom{2n}{n+1+j-2i} + \binom{2n}{n-2+j+2i}, \\ B(n)_{ij} &= \begin{cases} \binom{2n+1}{n+2-2i}, & j=1, \\ \binom{2n+1}{n+1+j-2i} + \binom{2n+1}{n-2+j+2i}, & j>1, \end{cases} \\ C(n)_{ij} &= \binom{2n+1}{n+1+j-2i} - \binom{2n+1}{n+j+2i}, \\ D(n)_{ij} &= \binom{2n+2}{n+1+j-2i} - \binom{2n+2}{n+j+2i}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 with $Z = G_3(r; 1)$ and note two additional special features. Firstly, the last part of the last row of $G_3(r; 1)$ is $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. This means that, when $r = 2n+1$, we take $C(n)$ to be X_0 and $B(n)$ to be X_1 with the last row and column omitted, while when $r = 2n+2$ we take $A(n+1)$ to be X_1 and $D(n)$ to be X_0 with the last row and column omitted. Secondly, when

$r = 2n + 1$ the middle column of Z is divisible by 2, which would mean that the first entry of the i th row of $B(n)$ would be

$$\binom{2n+1}{n+2-2i} + \binom{2n+1}{n-1+2i} = 2 \binom{2n+1}{n+2-2i}.$$

Therefore we may remove this factor of 2 from the first column of $B(n)$ and get the extra factor of 2 in (15). \square

We now make a closer analysis of the determinants in Proposition 2.1 to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First we find that $\det B(n) = \det C(n)$, by applying to $B(n)$ successively the operations

$$\text{Row}_i \mapsto \text{Row}_i - \text{Row}_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\text{Col}_j \mapsto \text{Col}_j + \text{Col}_{j-2}, \quad j = 3, \dots, n.$$

Next we find that $\det A = \det B$ and $\det C = \det D$ by applying to A or C the column operations

$$\text{Col}_j \mapsto \text{Col}_j + \text{Col}_{j-1}, \quad j = n, \dots, 2,$$

and using the fact that $\binom{r}{k} + \binom{r}{k-1} = \binom{r+1}{k}$.

Finally we make the surprising observation that $\det B(n)$ is one of the Giambelli-type determinant formulae [2, Corollary 24.35] for the dimension/character of the irreducible $SO(2n+1)$ representation W_a with highest weight $a = (1, \dots, 1, 2)$. Here we use the basis of fundamental weights and the coefficient 2 goes at the end of the Dynkin diagram with the short simple root. Then the Weyl dimension formula [2, Corollary 24.6 and Exercise 24.30] gives

$$\dim W_a = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} 2(j-i)(2(i+j)-1) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (4j-1)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (2j-1)!}.$$

This expression may be simplified to (5), which is also a simplification of (6). \square

Remark 2.1. In proving both Proposition 2.1 and the equality between $\det B(n)$ and $\det C(n)$, the only property of the binomial coefficients we use is that $\binom{r}{k} = \binom{r}{r-k}$, which means that the formulae (15) and (16) hold at the level of $SO(r)$ characters, rather than just dimensions. In particular, this implies that, as an $SO(2n+1)$ representation, the homology $H_*(\mathcal{N}_{2n+1})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of 2^{n+1} copies of $W_a \otimes W_a$. It is less clear how to interpret (16) at the level of representations, although one can say that $\det A(n+1)$ is the restriction to $SO(2n+2)$ of the irreducible $SO(2n+3)$ character $\det B(n+1)$. On the other hand, $\det D(n)$ is a virtual character of $SO(2n+2)$, whose restriction to $SO(2n+1)$ is the irreducible character $\det B(n)$.

Remark 2.2. Some of the determinant manipulations above may be applied to the formula $\det G_3(r; t)$ for the Poincaré polynomial to show that $(1 + t)^{\lceil r/2 \rceil}$ divides $P(\mathcal{N}_r; t)$ just as $2^{\lceil r/2 \rceil}$ divides $T(r)$. By setting $t = 1$ and recalling that $T(r)/2^{\lceil r/2 \rceil}$ is always odd, we see that no higher power of $(1 + t)$ divides $P(\mathcal{N}_r; t)$.

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.1 may be refined to provide a simplification of the determinant of a matrix in which either the odd rows are symmetric or the even rows are antisymmetric. This leads to some refinements of Proposition 2.1, which also hold at the level of $SO(V)$ characters and shed some light on the multiplicity 2^{n+1} .

For any set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, let I_0 denote the set of even numbers in I and I_1 denote the set of odd numbers. We will now write $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$ as $H_{[I_1, I_0]}(\mathcal{N}_r)$ and, for any $K \subset \{1, \dots, r\}_1$ and $L \subset \{1, \dots, r\}_0$, will define

$$H_{[K, *]}(\mathcal{N}_r) = \bigoplus_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}_0} H_{[K, J]}(\mathcal{N}_r),$$

$$H_{[*], L]}(\mathcal{N}_r) = \bigoplus_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}_1} H_{[J, L]}(\mathcal{N}_r).$$

What can be shown is that

$$\text{Char}_{SO(2n+1)} H_{[K, *]}(\mathcal{N}_{2n+1}) = \det B(n) \det C(n),$$

$$\text{Char}_{SO(2n+2)} H_{[K, *]}(\mathcal{N}_{2n+2}) = \det D(n) \det A(n+1),$$

$$\text{Char}_{SO(2n+1)} H_{[*], L]}(\mathcal{N}_{2n+1}) = 2 \det B(n) \det C(n),$$

$$\text{Char}_{SO(2n+2)} H_{[*], L]}(\mathcal{N}_{2n+2}) = \det D(n) \det A(n+1).$$

In other words, the partial sums $H_{[K, *]}(\mathcal{N}_r)$ are all isomorphic as representations of $SO(r)$, independent of K . Furthermore, the partial sums $H_{[*], L]}(\mathcal{N}_r)$ are all isomorphic as representations of $SO(r)$, independent of L , and this representation is the same as the one above, when r is even, and twice the one above, when r is odd.

We illustrate this result by giving all the representation dimensions for $r = 4$ arranged in a table with rows indexed by I_1 and columns by I_0 . As predicted, all rows and columns have the same sum, which in this case is $\beta(1)\beta(2) = 105$:

$I_1 \setminus I_0$	$\{\}$	$\{4\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{2, 4\}$
$\{\}$	1	20	20	64
$\{1\}$	4	45	20	36
$\{3\}$	36	20	45	4
$\{1, 3\}$	64	20	20	1

This table also displays two entertaining properties, which appear to hold for the dimensions of $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$. These are observed empirically for $r \leq 20$ but not proved in general. Firstly, there is an involution σ of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ with the property that $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$ has odd dimension if and only if $\sigma(I) = I$. This involution is defined by partitioning $\{1, \dots, r\}$, when r is even, or $\{2, \dots, r\}$, when r is odd, into certain even intervals and reversing each interval. Secondly, the largest dimension of $H_I(\mathcal{N}_r)$ occurs when $I = \{1, \dots, r\}_0$ or $I = \{1, \dots, r\}_1$. One easily computes that this dimension is 2^z , where $z = r(r-1)/2$ is the dimension of the centre of \mathcal{N}_r .

3. Asymptotics and bounds for β and T

To study the asymptotics of $\beta(n)$, and hence $T(r)$, we consider expression (6) from Theorem 1.1, that is,

$$\beta(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(4k)!k!^2}{(2k)!^3}.$$

We will make repeated use of Euler's summation formula [1, Chapter 12, Art. 106–108], for the difference between the sum and the integral of a function. We start with one special case: Stirling's asymptotic series

$$\log n! = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \phi(n), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\phi(n) = 1/12n - 1/360n^3 + 1/1260n^5 - \dots \quad (18)$$

Hence

$$\log \left(\frac{(4k)!k!^2}{(2k)!^3} \right) = \left(2k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log 2 + \frac{1}{16} \Phi(k), \quad (19)$$

where $\Phi(k) = 16(\phi(4k) + 2\phi(k) - 3\phi(2k))$, so that

$$\Phi(k) = 1/k - 7/96k^3 + \varepsilon_1(k), \quad (20)$$

with $0 < \varepsilon_1(k) < 31/1280k^5 < 1/40k^5$, for simplicity. Thus,

$$\log \beta(n) = \left(n^2 + n/2\right) \log 2 + \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(k). \quad (21)$$

We can now estimate the last term using other cases of Euler's summation formula. Firstly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k = \gamma + \log n + 1/2n - 1/12n^2 + \varepsilon_2(n),$$

where $\gamma \simeq 0.5772$ is Euler's constant and $0 < \varepsilon_2(n) < 1/120n^4$. Secondly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k^3 = \zeta(3) - 1/2n^2 + 1/2n^3 - \varepsilon_3(n),$$

where $0 < \varepsilon_3(n) < 1/4n^4$. Finally, we have the simple estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_1(k) = c - \varepsilon_4(n),$$

where $0 < \varepsilon_4(n) < 1/160n^4$ and c is a constant, with $0 < c < \zeta(5)/40$. Putting these three together with (20) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(k) = C + \log n + 1/2n - 3/64n^2 - 7/192n^3 + \varepsilon_5(n), \quad (22)$$

where $-1/160n^4 < \varepsilon_5(n) < 1/32n^4$ and $C = \gamma - 7\zeta(3)/96 + c$. Repeating the analysis above with one more term in the asymptotic series (18), we could show that $0.495 < C < 0.515$, but to get better accuracy we must use numerical experiments to show that $C \simeq 0.5055$.

From (22), we obtain the bounds

$$C + \log(n + 1/2) < \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(k) < C + \log(n + 1/2 + 1/12n) \quad (23)$$

and thus (21) yields

$$(n + 1/2)^{1/16} < \frac{\beta(n)}{2^{(n^2+n/2)}e^{C/16}} < (n + 1/2 + 1/12n)^{1/16}. \quad (24)$$

In particular,

$$\beta(n) \sim 2^{(n^2+n/2)} n^{1/16} e^{C/16}. \quad (25)$$

Now, if we put (25) into Theorem 1.1, then we immediately obtain Theorem 1.2, with

$$\kappa = 2^{3/8} e^{C/8} \simeq 1.3814. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, if we put (24) into Theorem 1.1, then a little manipulation yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *When r is odd,*

$$r^{1/8} < \frac{T(r)}{2^{r^2/2\kappa}} < (r^2 + 1)^{1/16}. \quad (27)$$

When r is even,

$$(r^2 - 1)^{1/16} < \frac{T(r)}{2^{r^2/2\kappa}} < r^{1/8}. \quad (28)$$

A careful reader will note that to derive the upper bounds in (27) and (28) from (24) we need $r \geq 5$, but it may be checked directly that these inequalities also hold for $r < 5$.

Remark 3.1. Javier Cilleruehlo has shown us a more direct derivation of the asymptotic formula for $T(r)$ in Theorem 1.2. He starts by noting the following formulae:

$$\beta(n) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{(4k-1)(4k-3)}{(2k-1)^2} \right)^{n+1-k}, \quad (29)$$

$$T(r) = 2^{r(r+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \text{ odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \right)^{r-j}. \quad (30)$$

He then makes a direct asymptotic analysis of (30), using amongst other things the formulae

$$\prod_{j \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \right)^r = 2^{-r/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{j \text{ odd} \\ j > r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \right)^{-r} \sim e^{1/8}.$$

As a consequence, he recovers Theorem 1.2, together with the formula

$$\kappa = e^{1/8} \prod_{j \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \right)^{-j} \left(1 + \frac{2}{j} \right)^{-1/8}. \quad (31)$$

With a more detailed analysis he obtains the following refinement with the same order of extra control as Theorem 3.1

$$T(r) = 2^{r^2/2} r^{1/8} \kappa (1 + cr^{-2} + O(r^{-3})), \quad (32)$$

where $c = 5/128$, when r is odd, and $c = -3/128$, when r is even.

4. Application

Let \mathfrak{g} be any finite-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra and \mathfrak{a} a finite-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Then

$$H_*(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) = H_*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^* \mathfrak{a}$$

and thus $|H_*(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a})| = 2^{|\mathfrak{a}|} |H_*(\mathfrak{g})|$, where $|W|$ is short-hand for $\dim W$. Assume now that \mathfrak{g} has no abelian factors, let $\mathfrak{z} = \text{centre}(\mathfrak{g})$ and let V be any direct complement of \mathfrak{z} . The minimum number of generators of \mathfrak{g} is $r = \dim V$ and \mathfrak{g} is a homomorphic image of \mathcal{N}_r . In [3, Theorem 2.1] it is proved that one may

degenerate \mathcal{N}_r to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} is abelian with $|\mathfrak{a}| = |\mathcal{N}_r| - |\mathfrak{g}|$. Since under degeneration the homology can only grow we have that

$$2^{|\mathfrak{a}|} |H_*(\mathfrak{g})| \geq T(r). \quad (33)$$

Thus, we can improve the lower bounds given in [6] for the total homology of a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra. Theorem 1.2 shows that we obtain essentially the best general lower bound available in a single formula.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of finite dimension. Let \mathfrak{z} be its centre, $z = \dim(\mathfrak{z})$ and $r = \text{codim}(\mathfrak{z})$. Then*

$$\dim H_*(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2^{z+r/2} (r^2 - 1)^{1/16} \kappa. \quad (34)$$

Proof. First assume that \mathfrak{g} has no abelian factors and combine (33) with Theorem 3.1 to obtain the required inequality. But now notice that if we replace \mathfrak{g} by $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, then both sides of the inequality are multiplied by $2^{|\mathfrak{a}|}$ and so it remains valid. Thus the result follows for all \mathfrak{g} . \square

Note that, because $\kappa > 2^{3/8}$, this result does always improve the old lower bound of $2^{z+\lceil r/2 \rceil}$. If we were willing to separate into cases, then we could make a small improvement by replacing the term $(r^2 - 1)^{1/16}$ in (34) by $r^{1/8}$ when r is odd.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the support and stimulating environment of the Abdus Salam ICTP in Trieste, where the research in this paper was largely completed. We are pleased to thank Javier Cilleruelo, of the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, for showing us an alternative proof of Theorem 1.2. (see Remark 3.1).

References

- [1] T.J. Bromwich, An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series, second edition, Macmillan, 1926.
- [2] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory: a First Course, in: Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [3] F. Grunewald, O'Halloran, Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six, J. Algebra 112 (1988) 315–325.
- [4] B. Kostant, Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel–Weil theorem, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961) 329–387.
- [5] S. Sigg, Laplacian and homology of free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, J. Algebra 185 (1996) 144–161.
- [6] P. Tirao, A refinement of the Toral Rank Conjecture for 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000) 2875–2878.